

# COMP321 (Ontology Languages): Test 2

Lecturer: F. Wolter

Time: 50 minutes

This test makes up 10 percent of the final mark for this module. You can achieve 100 marks.

1. Let the TBox  $\mathcal{T}$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{City} &\sqsubseteq \forall \text{has\_location}.\text{Region} \\ \text{Region} &\sqsubseteq \text{GeographicalE} \\ \exists \text{has\_location}.\top &\sqsubseteq \text{GeographicalE} \\ \text{Region} \sqcap \text{City} &\sqsubseteq \perp \end{aligned}$$

Let the ABox  $\mathcal{A}$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Liverpool} &: \text{City} \\ (\text{Liverpool}, \text{Merseyside}) &: \text{has\_location} \\ \text{York} &: \text{GeographicalE} \end{aligned}$$

The answers given by the knowledge base  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$  to Boolean queries are “Yes”, “No”, or “Don’t know”. Give the answers given by  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$  to the following Boolean queries:

- $\text{City}(\text{Liverpool})$ ;
- $\text{Region}(\text{Liverpool})$ ;
- $\text{GeographicalE}(\text{Liverpool})$ ;
- $\text{City}(\text{York})$ ;
- $\text{Region}(\text{York})$ ;
- $\text{GeographicalE}(\text{York})$ ;
- $\text{City}(\text{Merseyside})$ ;
- $\text{Region}(\text{Merseyside})$ ;
- $\text{GeographicalE}(\text{Merseyside})$ ;

Give an informal explanation of your answers. **(30 marks)**

2. Explain why the answer to a query  $q$  returned by an ABox  $\mathcal{A}$  is not always the same as the answer returned by the corresponding relational database instance. Use an example to illustrate your explanation and discuss the relevance of this difference. **(20 marks)**
3. Consider the following assertions:
  - Every city has at least two shops;
  - Only cities have shops and cinemas;
  - Liverpool is a city that is located in the UK and has at most 5 cinemas;
  - No city located in the UK is located in France.
  - (a) Translate them into description logic inclusions in  $\mathcal{SHOIQ}$ . State which concept names, role names, and nominals are used. Also name the description logic constructors used in your translation.
  - (b) Translate the first two assertions into FOPL (first-order predicate logic). **(30 marks)**
4. Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{A \sqsubseteq \exists r.B, A \sqsubseteq B, B \sqsubseteq E, \exists r.E \sqsubseteq F, \exists r.B \sqsubseteq F\}$ . Determine two sets of axioms in  $\mathcal{T}$  that are in the pinpointing set  $\text{Pin}(\mathcal{T}, A \sqsubseteq F)$ . **(20 marks)**

**Answer for Question 1:**

- City(Liverpool): “Yes”. Already in ABox.
- Region(Liverpool): “No”, since Liverpool is a City (ABox) and the TBox states that City and Region are disjoint.
- GeographicalE(Liverpool): “Yes”, since Liverpool is located in something (ABox) and everything that is located in something is a GeographicalA (TBox).
- City(York): “Don’t know” since there are interpretations satisfying  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$  in which the assertion holds and interpretations satisfying  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$  in which the assertion does not hold.
- Region(York): “Don’t know”, for the same reason.
- GeographicalE(York): “Yes”. Already in ABox.
- City(Merseyside): “No”. According to the answer to the next query, Merseyside is a Region. So, since Region and City are disjoint (TBox), it cannot be a city.
- Region(Merseyside): “Yes”. Liverpool is located in Merseyside (ABox) and therefore Merseyside is a Region by the TBox.
- GeographicalE(Merseyside): “Yes”. Because Merseyside is a Region (see above) and every Region is a GeographicalE according to the TBox.

**Answer for Question 2:** See Lecture Notes.

**Answer for Question 3:** We use `has` and `located_in` as role names, `city`, `shop`, `cinema` as concept names, and `Liverpool`, `UK`, `france` as nominals.

- Every city has at least two shops:

$$\text{City} \sqsubseteq (\geq 2 \text{ has.Shop})$$

Here we use a qualified number restriction.

- Only cities have shops and cinemas:

$$\exists \text{has.Shop} \sqcap \exists \text{has.Cinema} \sqsubseteq \text{City}$$

Here we use existential restrictions.

- Liverpool is a city that is located in the UK and has at most 5 cinemas:

$$\{\text{Liverpool}\} \sqsubseteq \text{City} \sqcap \exists \text{located\_in.}\{\text{UK}\} \sqcap (\leq 5 \text{ has.Cinema})$$

Here we use existential restrictions, qualified number restrictions, and nominals.

- No city located in the UK is located in France:

$$\text{City} \sqcap \exists \text{located\_in.}\{\text{UK}\} \sqcap \exists \text{located\_in.}\{\text{France}\}$$

Here we use existential restrictions and nominals.

Translations into FOPL:

$$\forall x(\text{city}(x) \rightarrow \exists x_1 \exists x_2(\text{has}(x, x_1) \wedge \text{has}(x, x_2) \wedge \text{shop}(x_1) \wedge \text{shop}(x_2) \wedge \neg(x_1 = x_2))).$$

$$\forall x((\exists y_1 \exists y_2(\text{has}(x, y_1) \wedge \text{has}(x, y_2) \wedge \text{shop}(y_1) \wedge \text{cinema}(y_2)) \rightarrow \text{city}(x))$$

**Answer for Question 4:**

Two set are given by:

- $\{A \sqsubseteq \exists r.B, B \sqsubseteq E, \exists r.E \sqsubseteq F\}$ ;
- $\{A \sqsubseteq \exists r.B, \exists r.B \sqsubseteq F\}$ .