Learning outcomes

By the end of this set of lecture notes, a student should

1. Understand the maximum flow problem.
2. Comprehend and be able to utilize the Ford-Fulkerson augmenting path algorithm that can be used to find maximum flows in networks.
3. Know the Max-Flow/Min-Cut Theorem.

Connectivity information

*Connectivity information* can be defined by many kinds of relationships that exist between pairs of objects.

For example, connectivity information is present in city maps, where the objects are roads, and also in the routing tables for the Internet, where the objects are computers.

Connectivity information is also present in the parent-child relationships defined by a binary tree, where the objects are tree nodes.

*Graphs* are one way in which connectivity information can be stored, expressed, and utilized.

Graphs

A *graph* is a set of objects, called *vertices* (or *nodes*), together with a collection of pair-wise connections, called *edges*, between them.

Graphs have applications in a number of different domains, including

- *mapping* (geographic information systems);
- *transportation* (road and flight networks);
- *electrical engineering* (circuit design);
- *process scheduling* (job makespans and assembly-line scheduling); and
- *computer networking* (connectivity of networks).
Graphs (cont.)

More formally, a graph $G = (V, E)$, is a set, $V$, of vertices and a collection, $E$, of pairs of vertices from $V$, called edges.

Edges in a graph are either directed or undirected.

- An edge $(u, v)$ is said to be directed from $u$ to $v$ if the pair $(u, v)$ is ordered. If all edges of a graph are directed, we usually refer to $G$ as a digraph.
- An edge $(u, v)$ is said to be undirected if the pair $(u, v)$ is unordered. Typically, undirected edges are written as $\{u, v\}$ (using braces instead of parentheses).

Graph Terminology

Two vertices are said to be adjacent if they are end-points of the same edge.

An edge is said to be incident to a vertex if the vertex is one of its end-points.

An outgoing edge of a vertex is a directed edge whose origin is that vertex.

An incoming edge of a vertex is a directed edge whose destination is that vertex.

Graph - Example

Graph of co-authorship

Graph terminology (cont.)

The degree of a vertex $v$, denoted $\deg(v)$, is the number of edges incident to $v$.

In a directed graph, the in-degree (out-degree) of a vertex $v$ is the number of incoming (outgoing) edges of $v$, and is denoted by $\text{indeg}(v)$ ($\text{outdeg}(v)$).
We have the following two elementary results about graphs.

**Theorem**: If $G$ is an undirected graph with $m$ edges then

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2m.$$

**Theorem**: If $G$ is a directed graph with $m$ edges, then

$$\sum_{v \in V} \text{indeg}(v) = \sum_{v \in V} \text{outdeg}(v) = m.$$

**Graphs (cont.)**

An undirected graph $G$ is said to be *simple* if there is at most one edge between each pair of vertices $u$ and $v$.

A digraph is *simple* if there is at most one directed edge from $u$ to $v$ for every pair of distinct vertices $u$ and $v$.

**Theorem**: Let $G$ be a *simple* graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges.

- If $G$ is *undirected*, then $m \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.
- If $G$ is *directed*, then $m \leq n(n-1)$.

**More graph terminology**

A *walk* in a graph is a sequence of alternating vertices and edges, starting at a vertex and ending at a vertex.

A *path* is a walk where each vertex in the walk is distinct.

A *circuit* is a walk with the same start and end vertex.

A *cycle* is a circuit where each vertex in the circuit is distinct (except for first and last vertex).

A *directed walk* is a walk in which all edges are directed and are traversed along their direction. Directed paths, circuits, and cycles are defined similarly.
An example

A, e₂, B, e₁₃, D, e₁₀, C, e₁₁, B, e₃, E is a walk in this graph.

G, e₁₂, H, e₅, E, e₉, D, e₁₃, B is a path (and also a walk) joining
G and B.


Still more terminology

A *subgraph* of a graph G is a graph H whose vertices and
edges are *subsets* of the vertices and edges of G.

A *spanning subgraph* of G is a subgraph of G that contains all
the vertices of G.

A graph is *connected* if, for any two distinct vertices, there is a
path between them.

If a graph G is not connected, its maximal connected
subgraphs are called the *connected components* of G.

A small note...

If G is a simple graph, then giving the sequence of vertices is
sufficient to describe a walk, path, circuit, or cycle (as then the
edges are implied).

For example, the path joining G and G on the previous slide
could be (more compactly) represented as

\[ G, H, E, D, B. \]

Similarly the cycle could be written as

\[ B, C, D, F, E, H, A, B. \]

Graphs (cont.)

A *forest* is a graph without cycles.

A *tree* is a *connected forest*, i.e. a connected graph without
cycles.

A tree with a distinguished node (*root*) is called a *rooted tree*,
otherwise it is called a *free tree* (or, often, simply a *tree*).

A *spanning tree* of a graph is a spanning subgraph that is a free
tree.
Let $G$ be an undirected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. We have the following observations:

- If $G$ is connected, then $m \geq n - 1$.
- If $G$ is a tree then, $m = n - 1$.
- If $G$ is a forest, then $m \leq n - 1$.

Representing Graphs - Adjacency List (linked lists)

Representing Graphs - Adjacency Matrix

Here we represent the structure of the graph with a \{0, 1\} matrix, the ones signifying that there is an edge present between the two vertices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$v_1$</th>
<th>$v_2$</th>
<th>$v_3$</th>
<th>$v_4$</th>
<th>$v_5$</th>
<th>$v_6$</th>
<th>$v_7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$v_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_4$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_5$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Digraphs

A **digraph** is a graph whose edges are all directed.

A fundamental issue with directed graphs is the notion of **reachability**, which deals with determining where we can get to in a directed graph.

Given two vertices $u$ and $v$ of a digraph $G$, we say that $u$ reaches $v$ (or $v$ is reachable from $u$) if $G$ has a **directed path** from $u$ to $v$. 
Digraphs (cont.)

A digraph $G$ is **strongly connected** if, for any two distinct vertices $u$ and $v$, we have that $u$ reaches $v$, and $v$ reaches $u$.

A **directed cycle** of $G$ is a cycle where all the edges are traversed according to their respective directions.

A digraph is **acyclic** if it has no directed cycles.

Graph search methods

Two common methods for exploring graphs are the **Depth-First Search** (DFS) and **Breadth-First Search** (BFS) methods.

As a very brief reminder, DFS starts at a vertex, chooses an edge from that vertex and “walks out as far as possible”, finding new vertices until it encounters one it has already seen, then it backs up (as little as possible) to find other un-encountered vertices.

In contrast to the DFS method, the Breadth First Search algorithm starts at a vertex and first explores the entire neighborhood of that vertex before moving onto another vertex.

Graph search methods (cont.)

Therefore, the DFS method generates “long, skinny” search trees, while the BFS method generates “short, bushy” ones.

The running time of BFS and DFS is the same, namely $O(n + m)$.

Generally speaking, we may utilize a **stack** data structure to control a DFS search, while a **queue** data structure may be used for a BFS search.

We will not go into great detail about these search methods here. Any book on (graph) algorithms should contain more detailed descriptions of these procedures.

Applications of the search methods

BFS and DFS can be used to answer a variety of questions about graphs including:

- Testing whether $G$ is connected.
- Computing the connected components of $G$.
- Finding a spanning forest of $G$ (or spanning tree if $G$ is connected).
- Searching for a cycle in $G$, or reporting that $G$ is acyclic.
- Given a start vertex $x$ of $G$, computing, for every vertex $v$ of $G$, a path with the minimum number of edges between $x$ and $v$, or reporting that no such path exists (BFS).
- Testing for **strong connectivity** of digraphs. (Is there a directed path from $u$ to $v$, for all $u$ and $v$ in $D$?)
Weighted Graphs

A weighted graph is a graph that has a numerical label $w(e)$ associated with each edge $e$, called the weight of $e$.

Alternatively, we might sometimes consider graphs having weights on the vertices, or on both the vertices and edges.

Network Flow - The basics

A flow network $G = (V, E)$ is a directed graph in which each edge $(u, v) \in E$ has a non-negative integer capacity $c(u, v) \geq 0$.

We distinguish two vertices in the flow network: a source $s$ and a sink $t$.

We assume that $s$ has no in-edges, and that $t$ has no out-edges.

Network Flow Algorithms

There are several algorithms for solving this maximum flow problem.

One algorithm we will look at is the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm.

This algorithm searches for a flow-augmenting path from the source vertex $s$ to the sink vertex $t$.

We then send as much flow as possible along the flow augmenting path, whilst obeying the capacity constraints of each edge.

The maximum flow that we can send along the path is limited by the minimum of $c(u, v) - f(u, v)$ of an edge on this path.

Network Flow - The basics

Each edge $(u, v)$ also has an associated flow value $f(u, v)$ which tells us how much flow has been sent along an edge. These values satisfy $0 \leq f(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$.

For every vertex other than $s$ and $t$, the amount of flow into the vertex must equal the amount of flow out of the vertex.

In the maximum flow problem, we are given a flow network $G$, with source, $s$ and sink, $t$ and we wish to find a flow of maximum value from $s$ to $t$. 
The Ford-Fulkerson algorithm depends on three important ideas:

- residual networks
- augmenting paths
- cuts

These three ideas are essential for the important Max-Flow/Min-Cut theorem.

Ford-Fulkerson Method

The Ford-Fulkerson method is iterative.

- Start with $f(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in V$.
- At each iteration, we increase flow by finding an augmenting path from $s$ to $t$ along which we can push more flow. (We consider the residual network when we search for augmenting paths.)
- This process is repeated until no more augmenting paths can be found.
- The Max-Flow Min-cut theorem shows us that this process yields a maximum flow.

Ford-Fulkerson - Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson-Method($G, s, t$)
1. $\triangleright$ Input: A flow network $G$ and source $s$, sink $t$
2. $\triangleright$ Output: Max flow through network
3. $f \leftarrow 0$
4. while augmenting path, $P$ exists (in the residual network)
5. do augment flow along $P$
6. $f \leftarrow f + \text{new flow}$
7. update residual network
8. return $f$

Residual Networks

A residual network consists of edges that can admit more net flow.

Given the flow network $G$, and a flow $f$ in that network, we define the residual network $G_f$. (So this depends upon the given flow $f$.)
Residual Networks (cont.)

$G_f$ has the same vertices as $G$.
The edges of $G_f$ are of two types:

- “Forwards edges”
  For any edge $(u, v)$ in $G$ for which $f(u, v) < c(u, v)$, there is an edge $(u, v)$ in $G_f$.
  The residual capacity $\Delta_f(u, v)$ of $(u, v)$ in $G_f$ is defined as $\Delta_f(u, v) = c(u, v) - f(u, v)$.

- “Backwards edges”
  For any edge $(u, v)$ in $G$ for which $f(u, v) > 0$, there is an edge $(v, u)$ in $G_f$.
  The residual capacity $\Delta_f(v, u)$ of $(v, u)$ in $G_f$ is defined as $\Delta_f(v, u) = f(u, v)$.

Augmenting Paths

Given a flow network $G = (V, E)$ and a flow $f$, an augmenting path $P$ is a (directed) path from $s$ to $t$ in the residual network $G_f$.

Informally, an augmenting path is a path from the source to the sink in which we can send more net flow, i.e. flow along each edge has not reached the capacity.

The Ford-Fulkerson method sends flow along augmenting paths until no more flow augmenting paths exist.

Updating the flow

Once an augmenting path $P$ has been identified, we need to update the flow. How is this done?

First of all, the amount of flow to send along $P$ is limited by the minimum residual capacity of the edges on $P$, i.e. define

$$\Delta_f(P) = \min_{(u,v) \in P} \Delta_f(u, v).$$

Then we send this flow along $P$. How do we interpret this, and update the values of $f(u, v)$ for the edges in the path $P$?

1. If $(u, v)$ is a “forwards edge”, we set
   $$f'(u, v) = f(u, v) + \Delta_f(P).$$

2. If $(u, v)$ is a “backwards edge”, we set
   $$f'(v, u) = f(v, u) - \Delta_f(P).$$
   (In other words, we decrease the flow along the original edge $(v, u)$ in $G$).

3. For all edges $e$ not in $P$, we set $f'(e) = f(e)$.

4. Finally, we update the residual network to get the new one that corresponds to the new flow $f'$. 

Updating the flow (cont.)
Cuts in Networks

The Ford-Fulkerson method repeatedly augments the flow along augmenting paths until a maximum flow has been found.

The Max-Flow/Min-Cut Theorem tells us that a flow is maximum if and only if no augmenting path exists.

A cut \((S, T)\) in a flow network \(G = (V, E)\) is a partition of the vertices \(V\) into \(S\) and \(T = V - S\) such that \(s \in S\) and \(t \in T\).

Max-Flow/Min-Cut Theorem

Theorem

The maximum flow is a network is equal to capacity of a minimum cut in the network.

Cuts in Networks (cont.)

If \(f\) is a flow, then the net flow across the cut \((S, T)\) is defined to be

\[
f(S, T) = \sum_{u \in S, v \in T} f(u, v) - \sum_{u \in T, v \in S} f(u, v).
\]

The capacity of a cut \((S, T)\) is

\[
c(S, T) = \sum_{u \in S, v \in T} c(u, v).
\]

Ford-Fulkerson - Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson\((G, s, t)\)
1. ▶ Input: A network \(G\) and vertices, \(s, t\)
2. ▶ Output: A maximum flow
3. for each edge \((u, v) \in E(G)\)
4. do \(f[u, v] \leftarrow 0\)
5. Initialize residual network \(G_r = G\)
6. while there exists and augmenting path \(P\) from \(s\) to \(t\)
7. do \(\Delta_f(p) \leftarrow \min \{\Delta_f(u, v) : (u, v) \in P\}\)
8. for each edge \((u, v) \in P\)
9. Update the flow (forwards and backwards edges)
10. Update the residual network based on new flow
Complexity of the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm

What is the running time of the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm?

Let $|f^*|$ denote the value of a maximum flow $f^*$ in a network with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges.

Finding an augmenting path in the residual network can be done using a DFS or BFS algorithm. These run in time $O(n + m) = O(m)$.

Each augmentation increases the flow by at least one unit (using the fact that the capacities are integers), so there are at most $|f^*|$ augmentation steps.

So the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm runs in time $O(|f^*|m)$. (This isn’t ideal, as a poor choice of augmenting paths can result in this large time bound.)

Other algorithms exist (such as the Edmonds-Karp algorithm) that run in time that is asymptotically better than the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm when $|f^*|$ is very large.

Edmonds-Karp works by selecting shortest augmenting paths in the residual network (considering each edge to have length 1 when finding an augmenting path). This algorithm has a running time of $O(nm^2)$.

Bipartite graphs

A bipartite graph is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into two sets $X$ and $Y$, such that every edge joins a vertex in $X$ to a vertex in $Y$.

Bipartite graphs (cont.)

Bipartite graphs arise naturally in many situations when objects are being assigned to other objects.

For example, the set $X$ could represent jobs and the set $Y$ might represent machines. An edge $(x_i, y_j)$ means that job $x_i$ is capable of being assigned to machine $y_j$.

A bipartite graph could also represent relations between job applicants and available positions (i.e. people who are qualified for a particular job), customers and stores, houses and nearby police stations, etc, etc.
A matching is a subset of the edges of a bipartite graph where each vertex appears in at most one edge (i.e. edges in the matching share no common endpoints).

One of the oldest problems in combinatorial algorithms is that of determining the size of the largest matching in a bipartite graph.

Several algorithms have been developed for this task, as well as algorithms for graphs that are not bipartite (for which the problem is significantly more complicated).

We can actually use an algorithm for the maximum flow problem to solve the problem of finding a matching of maximum size.

Finding a bipartite maximum matching

To use the augmenting path algorithm (or the Edmonds-Karp algorithm or some other maximum flow algorithm), we need to define our flow network.

The flow network is obtained from the bipartite graph by adding two new vertices, a source vertex $s$ and a sink vertex $t$.

Join all vertices in $X$ to $s$ and all vertices in $Y$ to $t$. Direct all edges from $s$ to $X$, from $X$ to $Y$, and from $Y$ to $t$.

Finally, give each edge a capacity of 1.

Claim: The value of a maximum flow in the newly constructed flow network is equal to the size of a maximum matching in the original bipartite graph.

As a result, we can find a maximum matching (using, say, the Ford-Fulkerson augmenting path algorithm) in time $O(nm)$ (in this case the value of a maximum flow $|f^*|$ is $O(n)$).