# COMP108 Algorithmic Foundations Algorithm efficiency 

## Prudence Wong

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~pwong/teaching/comp108/201617

## Learning outcomes

> Able to carry out simple asymptotic analysis of algorithms

## Time Complexity Analysis

 How fast is the algorithm?Code the algorithm and run the program, then measure the running time

1. Depend on the speed of the computer
2. Waste time coding and testing if the algorithm is slow

- Identify some important operations/steps and count how many times these operations/steps needed to be executed


## Time Complexity Analysis

How to measure efficiency?
区 Number of operations usually expressed in terms of input size
> If we doubled/trebled the input size, how much longer would the algorithm take?

## Why efficiency matters?

> speed of computation by hardware has been improved
> efficiency still matters
> ambition for computer applications grow with computer power
> demand a great increase in speed of computation

## Amount of data handled matches

 speed increase?When computation speed vastly increased, can we handle much more data?

Suppose

- an algorithm takes $n^{2}$ comparisons to sort $n$ numbers
- we need 1 sec to sort 5 numbers ( 25 comparisons)
- computing speed increases by factor of 100

Using 1 sec , we can now perform $100 \times 25$ comparisons, i.e., to sort 50 numbers
With 100 times speedup, only sort 10 times more numbers!

## Time/Space Complexity Analysis

Important operation of summation: addition
How many additions this algorithm requires?

## We need $\boldsymbol{n}$ additions (depend on the input size $\boldsymbol{n}$ )

```
sum = 0, i = 1
while i<=n do
begin
    sum = sum + i
    i = i + 1
end
output sum
```

We need 3 variables n, sum, \& i
$\Rightarrow$ needs 3 memory space
In other cases, space complexity may depend on the input size $n$

## Look for improvement

Mathematical formula gives us an alternative way to find the sum of first $n$ integers:
$1+2+\ldots+n=n(n+1) / 2$

```
sum = n* (n+1)/2
output sum
```

We only need 3 operations:
1 addition, 1 multiplication, and 1 division
(no matter what the input size $n$ is)

## Improve Searching

We've learnt sequential search and it takes $n$ comparisons in the worst case.

If the numbers are pre-sorted, then we can improve the time complexity of searching by binary search.

## Binary Search

more efficient way of searching when the sequence of numbers is pre-sorted

Input: a sequence of $n$ sorted numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in ascending order and a number $X$

## Idea of algorithm:

> compare $X$ with number in the middle
$>$ then focus on only the first half or the second half (depend on whether $X$ is smaller or greater than the middle number)
> reduce the amount of numbers to be searched by half

## Binary Search (2)

## $\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & 7 & 11 & 12 & 15 & 19 & 24 & 33 \\ 41 & 55 & 10 \text { nos }\end{array}$ $24 \quad \leftarrow x$

## 1924334155 24

## 1924 24

24
24
found!

## Binary Search (3)

## $\begin{array}{llllllll}3 & 7 & 11 & 12 & 15 & 19 & 33 & 41 \\ 55 & \leftarrow 10 \text { nos }\end{array}$ $30 \quad \leftarrow x$

## 1924334155 30

## 1924 30

## Binary Search - Pseudo Code

first $=1$
last = n
$\lfloor 」$ is the floor function, truncates the decimal part
found = false
while (first <= last \&\& found == false) do begin
// check with no. in middle
end
if (found == true)
report "Found!"
else report "Not Found!"

## Binary Search - Pseudo Code

first $=1$, last $=n$, found $=$ false
while (first <= last \&\& found == false) do begin

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mid }=\lfloor(\text { first+last }) / 2\rfloor \\
& \text { if }(X==\text { a }[\text { mid] }) \\
& \text { found }=\text { true }
\end{aligned}
$$

else

```
        if (X < a[mid])
```

        last \(=\) mid-1
    else first = mid+1
    end
if (found == true)
report "Found!"
else report "Not Found!"

## Number of Comparisons

## Best case:

$X$ is the number in the middle
$\Rightarrow 1$ comparison
Worst case:
at most $\left\lceil\log _{2} h\right\rceil+1$ comparisons

## Why?

Every comparison reduces the amount of numbers by at least half
E.g., $16 \Rightarrow 8 \Rightarrow 4 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 1$

```
first=1, last=n
found=false
while (first <= last &&
    found == false) do
begin
    mid = \(first+last)/2\rfloor
    if (X == a[mid])
        found = true
    else
        if (X < a[mid])
        last = mid-1
        else
            first = mid+1
end
if (found == true)
    report "Found"
else report "Not Found!"
```


## Time complexity - Big $O$ notation ...

## Note on Logarithm

Logarithm is the inverse of the power function

$$
\log _{2} 2^{x}=x
$$

$$
\log _{2} x^{*} y=\log _{2} x+\log _{2} y
$$

For example,

$$
\log _{2} 4 \star 8=\log _{2} 4+\log _{2} 8=2+3=5
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\log _{2} 1=\log _{2} 2^{0}=0 & \log _{2} 16^{*} 16=\log _{2} 16+\log _{2} 16=8 \\
\log _{2} 2=\log _{2} 2^{1}=1 & \log _{2} x / y=\log _{2} x-\log _{2} y \\
\log _{2} 4=\log _{2} 2^{2}=2 & \log _{2} 32 / 8=\log _{2} 32-\log _{2} 8=5-3=2 \\
\log _{2} 16=\log _{2} 2^{4}=4 & \log _{2} 1 / 4=\log _{2} 1-\log _{2} 4=0-2=-2 \\
\log _{2} 256=\log _{2} 2^{8}=8 & \\
\log _{2} 1024=\log _{2} 2^{10}=10
\end{array}
$$

## Which algorithm is the fastest?

Consider a problem that can be solved by 5 algorithms $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{5}$ using different number of operations (time complexity).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}(n)=50 n+20 & f_{2}(n)=10 n \log _{2} n+100 \\
f_{3}(n)=n^{2}-3 n+6 & f_{4}(n)=2 n^{2} \\
f_{5}(n)=2 n^{n} 8-n / 4+2 &
\end{array}
$$

| n | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{n})=50 n+20$ | 70 | 120 | 220 | 420 | 820 | 1620 | 3220 | 6420 | 12820 | 25620 | 51220 | 102420 |
| $f_{2}(n)=10 n \log _{2} n+100$ | 100 | 120 | 180 | 340 | 740 | 1700 | 3940 | 9060 | 20580 | 46180 | 102500 | 225380 |
| $f_{3}(n)=n^{2}-3 n+6$ | 4 | 4 | 10 | 46 | 214 | 934 | 3910 | 16006 | 64774 | $3 E+05$ | $1 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4E+06 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{4}(\mathrm{n})=2 \mathrm{n}^{2}$ | 2 | 8 | 32 | 128 | 512 | 2048 | 8192 | 32768 | 131072 | 5E+05 | 2E+06 | 8E+06 |
| $f_{5}(n)=2^{n} / 8-n / 4+2$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 32 | 8190 | 5E+08 | 2E+18 |  |  |  |  |  |

Quickest:


Depends on the size of the input!


## What do we observe?

> There is huge difference between
> functions involving powers of $n$ (e.g., $n, n^{2}$, called polynomial functions) and
$>$ functions involving powering by $n$ (e.g., $2^{n}, 3^{n}$, called exponential functions)
> Among polynomial functions, those with same order of power are more comparable

$$
>\text { e.g., } f_{3}(n)=n^{2}-3 n+6 \text { and } f_{4}(n)=2 n^{2}
$$

## Growth of functions

| $n$ | $\log n$ | $\sqrt{n}$ | $n$ | $n \log n$ | $n^{2}$ | $n^{3}$ | $2^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 64 | 16 |
| 8 | 3 | 2.8 | 8 | 24 | 64 | 512 | 256 |
| 16 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 64 | 256 | 4096 | 65536 |
| 32 | 5 | 5.7 | 32 | 160 | 1024 | 32768 | 4294967296 |
| 64 | 6 | 8 | 64 | 384 | 4096 | 262144 | $1.84 \times 10^{19}$ |
| 128 | 7 | 11.3 | 128 | 896 | 16384 | 2097152 | $3.40 \times 10^{38}$ |
| 256 | 8 | 16 | 256 | 2048 | 65536 | 16777216 | $1.16 \times 10^{77}$ |
| 512 | 9 | 22.6 | 512 | 4608 | 262144 | 134217728 | $1.34 \times 10^{154}$ |
| 1024 | 10 | 32 | 1024 | 10240 | 1048576 | 1073741824 |  |

## Relative growth rate



## Hierarchy of functions

> We can define a hierarchy of functions each having a greater order of growth than its predecessor:

> We can further refine the hierarchy by inserting $\boldsymbol{n} \log \mathbf{n}$ between $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{n}^{2}$, $n^{2} \log n$ between $n^{2}$ and $n^{3}$, and so on.

## Hierarchy of functions (2)

| 1 | $\log n$ | $n^{n} n^{2} n^{3} \ldots n^{k} \ldots$ | $2^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| constant | logarithmic |  | polynomial |
| exponential |  |  |  |

Note: as we move from left to right, successive functions have greater order of growth than the previous ones.
As $n$ increases, the values of the later functions increase more rapidly than the earlier ones.
$\Rightarrow$ Relative growth rates increase

## Hierarchy of functions (3)

$(\log n)^{3}$
What about $\log ^{3} n$ \& $n$ ?
Which is higher in hierarchy?
Remember: $n=2^{\log n}$
So we are comparing $(\log n)^{3} \& 2^{\log n}$
$\therefore \log ^{3} n$ is lower than $n$ in the hierarchy

Similarly, $\log ^{k} n$ is lower than $n$ in the hierarchy, for any constant $k$

## Hierarchy of functions (4)


> Now, when we have a function, we can classify the function to some function in the hierarchy:
>For example, $f(n)=2 n^{3}+5 n^{2}+4 n+7$
The term with the highest power is $2 n^{3}$.
The growth rate of $f(n)$ is dominated by $n^{3}$.
> This concept is captured by Big-O notation

## Big-O notation

$f(n)=O(g(n))$ [read as $f(n)$ is of order $g(n)$ ]
> Roughly speaking, this means $f(n)$ is at most a constant times $g(n)$ for all large $n$

- Examples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >2 n^{3}=O\left(n^{3}\right) \\
& >3 n^{2}=O\left(n^{2}\right) \\
& >2 n \log n=O(n \log n) \\
& >n^{3}+n^{2}=O\left(n^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exercise

Determine the order of growth of the following functions.

1. $n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3$
2. $4 n^{2} \log n+n^{3}+5 n^{2}+n$
3. $2 n^{2}+n^{2} \log n$
4. $6 n^{2}+2^{n}$

## Look for the term highest in the hierarchy

## More Exercise

Are the followings correct?

1. $n^{2} \log n+n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3$
2. $n+1000$
3. $6 n^{20}+2^{n}$
4. $n^{3}+5 n^{2} \log n+n$
$O\left(n^{2} \log n\right) ?$
$O(n)$ ?
$O\left(n^{20}\right)$ ?
$O\left(n^{2} \log n\right) ?$

## Some algorithms we learnt

Sum of $1^{\text {st }} n$ integers
input $n$
sum $=n *(n+1) / 2$
output sum

```
input n, sum = 0
while i <= n do
begin
    sum = sum + i
    i = i + 1
end
output sum
\(O(?)\)
```

Min value among n numbers

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { loc }=1, i=2 \\
& \text { while i }<=\text { n do } \\
& \text { begin } \\
& \quad \text { if (a[i] < a[loc]) then } \\
& \qquad \text { loc }=i \\
& \text { i }=i+1 \\
& \text { end } \\
& \text { output a[loc] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Time complexity of this?

```
for i = 1 to 2n do
    for j = 1 to n do
    x = x + 1
```

The outer loop iterates for $2 n$ times.
The inner loop iterates for $n$ times for each $i$. Total: $2 n^{*} n=2 n^{2}$.
suppose $n=8$

| @ end of <br> iteration | i | count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 3 | 8 | 3 |

suppose $n=32$

| (@ end of $)$ <br> iteration | i | count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 3 | 8 | 3 |
| 4 | 16 | 4 |
| 5 | 32 | 5 |

## Big-O notation - formal definition $f(n)=O(g(n))$

> There exists a constant $c$ and $n_{0}$ such that $f(n) \leq c g(n)$ for all $n>n_{0}$
$>\exists c \exists n_{0} \forall n>n_{0}$ then $f(n) \leq c g(n)$

Graphical Illustration


## Example: $\mathrm{n}+60$ is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$

## $\exists$ constants c \& $n_{0}$ such that $\forall n>n_{0}, f(n) \leq c g(n)$



## Which one is the fastest?

Usually we are only interested in the asymptotic time complexity
> i.e., when $n$ is large
$O(\log n)<O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)<O(\sqrt{n})<O(n)<O(n \log n)<O\left(n^{2}\right)<O\left(2^{n}\right)$

## Proof of order of growth

$\Rightarrow$ Prove that $2 n^{2}+4 n$ is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

Note: plotting a graph is NOT a proof
$\checkmark$ Since $n \leq n^{2} \forall n \geq 1$,
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n^{2}+4 n & \leq 2 n^{2}+4 n^{2} \\
& =6 n^{2} \quad \forall n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\checkmark$ Therefore, by definition, $2 n^{2}+4 n$ is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
> Alternatively,
$\checkmark$ Since $4 n \leq n^{2} \forall n \geq 4$,
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n^{2}+4 n & \leq 2 n^{2}+n^{2} \\
& =3 n^{2} \quad \forall n \geq 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\checkmark$ Therefore, by definition, $2 n^{2}+4 n$ is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.

## Proof of order of growth (2)

$>$ Prove that $n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3$ is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$
$\checkmark$ Since $n^{2} \leq n^{3}$ and $1 \leq n^{3} \forall n \geq 1$,
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3 & \leq n^{3}+3 n^{3}+3 n^{3} \\
& =7 n^{3} \quad \forall n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\checkmark$ Therefore, by definition, $n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3$ is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$.
> Alternatively,
$\checkmark$ Since $3 n^{2} \leq n^{3} \forall n \geq 3$, and $3 \leq n^{3} \forall n \geq 2$ we have $n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3 \leq 3 n^{3} \quad \forall n \geq 3$.
$\checkmark$ Therefore, by definition, $n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3$ is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$.

## Challenges

Prove the order of growth

1. $2 n^{3}+n^{2}+4 n+4$ is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$
a) $2 n^{3}=2 n^{3} \quad \forall n$
b) $\mathrm{n}^{2} \leq ? ? \quad \forall \mathrm{n} \geq$ ?
c) $4 \mathrm{n} \leq ? ? \quad \forall \mathrm{n} \geq$ ?
d) $4 \leq ? ? \quad \forall n \geq$ ?
$\Rightarrow 2 n^{3}+n^{2}+4 n+4 \leq ? ? \quad \forall n \geq$ ?
2. $2 n^{2}+2^{n}$ is $O\left(2^{n}\right)$
a) $2 n^{2} \leq$ ??
b) $2^{n}=2^{n} \quad \forall n$
$\Rightarrow 2 n^{2}+2^{n} \leq ? ? \quad \forall n \geq ?$

Plot:


