

# Bibliography of *Popov v Hayashi* in AI and Law

Trevor Bench-Capon

Department of Computer Sciences  
University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

tbc@csc.liv.ac.uk

November 6, 2014

## Abstract

Bibliography for *Popov v Hayashi* in AI and Law and selected AI and Law papers on the wild animals cases.

## 1 Popov v Hayashi

Ashley, Kevin D. “Toward Modeling Analogical, Teleological and Hypothetical Legal Reasoning in a Case Microworld.” *Modelling Legal Cases* (2009): 95. [1]

Ashley, Kevin D. “The case-based reasoning approach: ontologies for analogical legal argument.” *Approaches to Legal Ontologies*. Springer Netherlands, 2011. 99-115. [2]

Atkinson, Katie, and Trevor Bench-Capon. “Argumentation and standards of proof.” *Proceedings of the 11th international conference on Artificial intelligence and law*. ACM, 2007. [5]

Atkinson, Katie, *Modelling Legal Cases*. Springer, 2008. [3]

Atkinson, Katie. “Introduction to special issue on modelling Popov v. Hayashi.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 20.1 (2012): 1-14. [4]

Bench-Capon, Trevor JM. “Representing Popov v Hayashi with dimensions and factors.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 20.1 (2012): 15-35. [13]

Egly, Uwe, Sarah Alice Gaggl, and Stefan Woltran. “Aspartix: Implementing argumentation frameworks using answer-set programming.” *Logic Programming*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008. 734-738. [19]

Gordon, Thomas F., and Douglas Walton. “A Carneades reconstruction of Popov v Hayashi.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 20.1 (2012): 37-56. [22]

Prakken, Henry. “Reconstructing Popov v. Hayashi in a framework for argumentation with structured arguments and Dungean semantics.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 20.1 (2012): 57-82. [24].

Walton, Douglas. “Similarity, precedent and argument from analogy.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 18.3 (2010): 217-246.[29]

Walton, Douglas. “Baseballs and arguments from fairness.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law*: 1-27. [28]

Wyner, Adam, Trevor Bench-Capon, and Katie Atkinson. “Arguments, values and baseballs: Representation of Popov v. Hayashi.” *JURIX*. Vol. 165. 2007. [31]

Wyner, Adam. “An OWL ontology for legal cases with an instantiation of Popov v. Hayashi.” *Proceedings of the ICAIL 2009 workshop on modeling legal cases*. IDT Series. Huygens Editorial, Barcelona. 2009. [30]

Wyner, Adam, and Rinke Hoekstra. “A legal case OWL ontology with an instantiation of Popov v. Hayashi.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 20.1 (2012): 83-107. [32]

## 2 Selected Wild Animals in AI and Law

Atkinson, Katie, Trevor Bench-Capon, and Peter McBurney. “Arguing about cases as practical reasoning.” *Proceedings of the 10th international conference on Artificial intelligence and law*. ACM, 2005. [6]

Bench-Capon, Trevor, and Giovanni Sartor. “A quantitative approach to theory coherence.” *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems*. *JURIX* (2001): 53-62. [10]

Bench-Capon, Trevor JM, and Rissland, Edwina L. “Back to the future: dimensions revisited.” *Proceedings of JURIX 2001*. 2001. [14]

Bench-Capon, Trevor J. M. “The missing link revisited: The role of teleology in representing legal argument.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 10.1-3 (2002): 79-94. [15]

Bench-Capon, Trevor, and Giovanni Sartor. “A model of legal reasoning with cases incorporating theories and values.” *Artificial Intelligence* 150.1

(2003): 97-143. [11]

Bench-Capon, Trevor JM. “Try to see it my way: Modelling persuasion in legal discourse.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 11.4 (2003): 271-287. [12]

Bench-Capon, Trevor, Katie Atkinson, and Alison Chorley. “Persuasion and value in legal argument.” *Journal of Logic and Computation* 15.6 (2005): 1075-1097. [7]

Bench-Capon, Trevor, and Sanjay Modgil. “Case law in extended argumentation frameworks.” *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*. ACM, 2009. [8]

Bench-Capon, Trevor, et al. “Argument schemes for reasoning with legal cases using values.” *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*. ACM, 2013. [9]

Berman, Donald H., and Carole D. Hafner. “Representing teleological structure in case-based legal reasoning: the missing link.” *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on Artificial intelligence and law*. ACM, 1993. [16]

Chorley, Alison, and Trevor Bench-Capon. “Agatha: Automation of the construction of theories in case law domains.” *Proceedings of Jurix*. 2004. [17]

Chorley, Alison, and Trevor Bench-Capon. “AGATHA: Using heuristic search to automate the construction of case law theories.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 13.1 (2005): 9-51. [18]

Gaggl, Sarah Alice. *Solving argumentation frameworks using answer set programming*. Technical University, Vienna, 2009. [20]

Gordon, Thomas F., and Douglas Walton. “Pierson vs. Post Revisited.” *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications* 144 (2006): 208. [21]

Prakken, Henry. “An exercise in formalising teleological case-based reasoning.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 10.1-3 (2002): 113-133. [23]

Sartor, Giovanni. “Teleological arguments and theory-based dialectics.” *Artificial Intelligence and Law* 10.1-3 (2002): 95-112.[25]

Sileno, Giovanni, Alexander Boer, and Tom van Engers. “Intentions and motives in legal reasoning and legal narratives.” [26]

Suzuki, Yoshitaka. “Dialogue game tree with nondeterministic additive consolidation.” *Computational Logic in Multi-Agent Systems*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007. 115-133. [27]

## References

- [1] K. D. Ashley. Toward modeling analogical, teleological and hypothetical legal reasoning in a case microworld. *Modelling Legal Cases*, page 95, 2009.
- [2] K. D. Ashley. The case-based reasoning approach: ontologies for analogical legal argument. In *Approaches to Legal Ontologies*, pages 99–115. Springer, 2011.
- [3] K. Atkinson. *Modelling Legal Cases*. Springer, 2008.
- [4] K. Atkinson. Introduction to special issue on modelling popov v. hayashi. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 20(1):1–14, 2012.
- [5] K. Atkinson and T. Bench-Capon. Argumentation and standards of proof. In *Proceedings of the 11th international conference on Artificial intelligence and law*, pages 107–116. ACM, 2007.
- [6] K. Atkinson, T. Bench-Capon, and P. McBurney. Arguing about cases as practical reasoning. In *Proceedings of the 10th international conference on Artificial intelligence and law*, pages 35–44. ACM, 2005.
- [7] T. Bench-Capon, K. Atkinson, and A. Chorley. Persuasion and value in legal argument. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 15(6):1075–1097, 2005.
- [8] T. Bench-Capon and S. Modgil. Case law in extended argumentation frameworks. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, pages 118–127. ACM, 2009.
- [9] T. Bench-Capon, H. Prakken, A. Wyner, and K. Atkinson. Argument schemes for reasoning with legal cases using values. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, pages 13–22. ACM, 2013.
- [10] T. Bench-Capon and G. Sartor. A quantitative approach to theory coherence. *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems. JURIX*, pages 53–62, 2001.

- [11] T. Bench-Capon and G. Sartor. A model of legal reasoning with cases incorporating theories and values. *Artificial Intelligence*, 150(1):97–143, 2003.
- [12] T. J. Bench-Capon. Try to see it my way: Modelling persuasion in legal discourse. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 11(4):271–287, 2003.
- [13] T. J. Bench-Capon. Representing popov v hayashi with dimensions and factors. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 20(1):15–35, 2012.
- [14] T. J. Bench-Capon and E. L. Rissland. Back to the future: dimensions revisited. In *Proceedings of JURIX 2001*. IOS Press, 2001.
- [15] T. J. M. Bench-Capon. The missing link revisited: The role of teleology in representing legal argument. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 10(1-3):79–94, 2002.
- [16] D. H. Berman and C. D. Hafner. Representing teleological structure in case-based legal reasoning: the missing link. In *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on Artificial intelligence and law*, pages 50–59. ACM, 1993.
- [17] A. Chorley and T. Bench-Capon. Agatha: Automation of the construction of theories in case law domains. In *Proceedings of Jurix*, pages 89–98, 2004.
- [18] A. Chorley and T. Bench-Capon. Agatha: Using heuristic search to automate the construction of case law theories. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 13(1):9–51, 2005.
- [19] U. Egly, S. A. Gaggl, and S. Woltran. Aspartix: Implementing argumentation frameworks using answer-set programming. In *Logic Programming*, pages 734–738. Springer, 2008.
- [20] S. A. Gaggl. *Solving argumentation frameworks using answer set programming*. Technical University, Vienna, 2009.
- [21] T. F. Gordon and D. Walton. Pierson vs. post revisited. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, 144:208, 2006.
- [22] T. F. Gordon and D. Walton. A carneades reconstruction of popov v hayashi. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 20(1):37–56, 2012.

- [23] H. Prakken. An exercise in formalising teleological case-based reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 10(1-3):113–133, 2002.
- [24] H. Prakken. Reconstructing popov v. hayashi in a framework for argumentation with structured arguments and dungean semantics. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 20(1):57–82, 2012.
- [25] G. Sartor. Teleological arguments and theory-based dialectics. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 10(1-3):95–112, 2002.
- [26] G. Sileno, A. Boer, and T. van Engers. Intentions and motives in legal reasoning and legal narratives.
- [27] Y. Suzuki. Dialogue game tree with nondeterministic additive consolidation. In *Computational Logic in Multi-Agent Systems*, pages 115–133. Springer, 2007.
- [28] D. Walton. Baseballs and arguments from fairness. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, pages 1–27.
- [29] D. Walton. Similarity, precedent and argument from analogy. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 18(3):217–246, 2010.
- [30] A. Wyner. An owl ontology for legal cases with an instantiation of popov v. hayashi. In *Proceedings of the ICAIL 2009 workshop on modeling legal cases. IDT Series. Huygens Editorial, Barcelona*, pages 21–40, 2009.
- [31] A. Wyner, T. Bench-Capon, and K. Atkinson. Arguments, values and baseballs: Representation of popov v. hayashi. In *JURIX*, volume 165, pages 151–160, 2007.
- [32] A. Wyner and R. Hoekstra. A legal case owl ontology with an instantiation of popov v. hayashi. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 20(1):83–107, 2012.