

# Ontology Languages (COMP321)

## Exercise 7

1. In Exercise 6, we introduced the database instance  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Nemo}}$  given by

Clownfish(Nemo), Clownfish(Karl)

Surgeonfish(Dory), has\_friend(Nemo, Dory)

Recall that under the closed world assumption we consider the interpretation  $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{Nemo}}}$  defined as follows:

- $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}} = \{\text{Nemo}, \text{Karl}, \text{Dory}\}$ ;
- $\text{Clownfish}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{\text{Nemo}, \text{Karl}\}$ ;
- $\text{Surgeonfish}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{\text{Dory}\}$ ;
- $\text{has\_friend}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{(\text{Nemo}, \text{Dory})\}$ .

Consider now the TBox  $\mathcal{T}$  given as:

Clownfish	$\sqsubseteq$	Fish
Clownfish $\sqcap$ Surgeonfish	$\sqsubseteq$	$\perp$
$\top$	$\sqsubseteq$	$\forall \text{has\_friend.Fish}$
$\exists \text{has\_friend.Fish}$	$\sqsubseteq$	Fish
Fish	$\sqsubseteq$	$\exists \text{has\_friend.}\top$

Fill the table below with the answers “Yes”, “No”, or “Don’t know” to the Boolean queries.

Query	Answer for $\mathcal{I}$	Certain A for $\mathcal{D}_{\text{Nemo}}$	Certain A for $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{Nemo}})$
Clownfish(Karl)			
Clownfish(Dory)			
Fish(Nemo)			
$\neg$ Fish(Nemo)			
$\exists$ has_friend. $\top$ (Nemo)			
$\exists$ has_friend.Fish(Nemo)			
Clownfish $\sqcap$ $\neg$ Surgeonfish(Karl)			
Fish(Dory)			
Surgeonfish $\sqcap$ $\neg$ Fish(Dory)			
$\exists$ has_friend.Clownfish(Karl)			

2. Consider the following non-Boolean queries  $F_i$ :

- $F_1(x) = \text{Clownfish}(x)$
- $F_2(x) = \neg \text{Surgeonfish}(x)$
- $F_3(x, y) = \text{has\_friend}(x, y)$
- $F_4(x) = \text{Clownfish}(x) \wedge \neg \text{has\_friend}(x, \text{Dory})$

For each query  $F_i$ , give

- $\text{answer}(F_i, \mathcal{D}_{\text{Nemo}})$ ;
- $\text{certanswer}(F_i, \mathcal{D}_{\text{Nemo}})$ ;
- $\text{certanswer}(F_i, (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{Nemo}}))$ .

3. Consider the  $\mathcal{EL}$  TBox  $\mathcal{T}_0$ :

- Footballplayer  $\sqsubseteq \exists$ plays\_for.Team
- Basketballplayer  $\sqsubseteq \exists$ plays\_for.Team
- Handballplayer  $\sqsubseteq \exists$ plays\_for.Team
- Team  $\sqsubseteq \exists$ managed\_by.Manager
- Manager  $\sqsubseteq$  Employee
- Manager  $\sqsubseteq \exists$ managed\_by.Manager

and the ABox  $\mathcal{A}_0$ :

Footballplayer(bob), Basketballplayer(john),  
Handballplayer(peter), Team(redsocks)  
managed\_by(redsocks, sue)

Compute the interpretation  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{A}_0}$  as described in the Comp321 Lecture Notes.

For  $\mathcal{EL}$  concept queries, we know that  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{A}_0}$  gives the answer “Yes” if, and only if,  $(\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{A}_0)$  gives the certain answer “Yes”. Check this for the queries:

- $\exists \text{plays\_for}.\text{Team}(\text{peter})$ ;
- $\exists \text{managed\_by}.\text{Manager}(\text{peter})$ ;
- $\exists \text{plays\_for}.\exists \text{managed\_by}.\text{Manager}(\text{peter})$ .

For more complex queries,  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{A}_0}$  can give the answer “Yes” even if  $(\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{A}_0)$  does not give the certain answer “Yes”. Check this for

- $F(x, y) = \exists z.(\text{plays\_for}(x, z) \wedge \text{plays\_for}(y, z))$ .
- $F = \exists x.\text{managed\_by}(x, x)$ .