Our code and datasets are available at https://github. com/acadTags/Automated-Social-Annotation

Joint Multi-Label Attention Networks for Social Text Annotation



Hang Dong^{1,2}, Wei Wang², Kaizhu Huang³, Frans Coenen¹, 1. University of Liverpool; 2. Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University



variation

regions

discus

Ave

2.45

11.59

and

broader

findings

determiner

enlighten

culture

china

participate

 $\overline{\Sigma_{Sub}}$

2,655

Time/Fold

1480±92s

 $1164 \pm 52s$

1075±87s

 $968 \pm 81s$ 894±55s

 $1044 \pm 73s$

101,084

Ave, average

differen

china

and

that

commerce

17,619

62,519

 F_1 Score

.306±.019*

 $.344 \pm .013^{*}$

 $.370 \pm .007^{*}$

 $.369 \pm .006^{*}$

 $.380 {\pm} .005$

.384±.007

china

that in

will

attitudes

shows

differen

office

model: purple blocks show word-level attention weights; red blocks

significant

the

into

ecommerc

ecommerce

socializing

and

also

chinese

china

|Y|

number of labels per document; $\sum Sub$, number of subsumption relations.

.217±.016*

 $.246 \pm .012^{*}$

 $.269 \pm .006^{*}$

 $.269 \pm .005^{*}$

 $.282 {\pm} .005$

.284±.006

Recall

5,196

1,999

factors

created

growth

focuses

on

and

paper identify

diffusion

such

the

e_commerce

culture

Precision

 $.522 \pm .020^{*}$

 $.572 \pm .008^{*}$

 $.591 \pm .010$

 $.586 \pm .009$

 $.586 \pm .004$

velopmen

insights

change

of

and

china

12,101

108,168

|X|, document size; |Y|, label size; |V|, vocabulary size;

X

have

and

findings

and

this

and and

be

able

culture

study

Bibsonomy (clean)

Zhihu (sample)

Bibsonomy

JMAN-s-tg

JMAN-s-att

JMAN-s

Bi-GRU

HAN

this

the

acceptance

acceptance

cultural

and

howeve

thei

prediction labels:

Dataset

Introduction

- Social annotation, or tagging, is a popular functionality allowing users to assign "keywords" to online resources for better semantic search and recommendation. In practice, however, only a limited number of resources is annotated with tags.
- We propose a novel deep learning architecture for automated social text annotation with cleaned user-generated tags.

Research Questions

- How to model the impact of the title on social annotation? (see Title-Guided Attention Mechanisms)
- ٠ How to leverage both similarity and subsumption relations among labels in neural networks to further improve the performance of multi-label classification? (see Semantic-Based Loss Regularizers)

Title-Guided Attention Mechanisms

Word-level attention mechanisms (for the title) [3-4]:

$$c_t = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i = \sum_i \frac{\exp(v_{wt} \bullet v_i)}{\sum_j \exp(v_{wt} \bullet v_j)} h$$
$$v_i = \tanh(W_t h_i + b_t)$$

Similarly we can obtain c_s (sentence representation) and c_{α} (content representation based on the original sentence-level attention mechanism in [3-4]).

Title-quided sentence-level attention mechanisms:

$$c_{ta} = \sum_{r} \alpha_{r} h_{r} = \sum_{r} \frac{\exp(c_{t} \bullet v_{r})}{\sum_{k} \exp(c_{t} \bullet v_{k})} h_{r}$$
$$v_{r} = \tanh(W_{s} h_{r} + b_{s})$$

 h_i and h_r denote the hidden state of word and sentence, respectively; The W_t , W_s , b_t , b_s are weights to be learned in training.

 $\textit{v}_{\textit{wt}}, \textit{v}_{\textit{wa}}$ and $\textit{v}_{\textit{wa}}$ are global context vectors, i.e. "what is the informative word [or sentence]" to be learned.

The final document representation is the concatenation of the title and the content representation.

 $c_d = [c_t, c_{ta}, c_a]$

References

[1] Mike Schuster and Kuldip K Paliwal. 1997. Bidirectional recurrent neural networks. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 45(11):2673–2681.



The automated social text annotation task can be formally transformed into a *multi-label classification* problem.



Semantic-based Loss Regularizers

Users tend to annotate documents collectively with tags of various semantic forms and granularities.

The whole joint loss to optimize:
$$L = L_{CE} + \lambda_1 L_{sim} + \lambda_2 L_{sub}$$

 $L_{sim} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \sum Sim_{jk} |s_{dj} - s_{dk}|^2$

$$\sum_{sim} = \frac{2}{2} \sum_{d} \sum_{(j,k)|T_j, T_k \in y_d} \sum_{j \in [j,k] \in y_d} \sum_{j \in y_d}$$

$$L_{sub} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d} \sum_{(j,k)|T_j, T_k \in y_d} Sub_{jk} R(s_{dj}) (1 - R(s_{dk}))$$

 L_{sim} constrains **similar** labels to have similar outputs.

 L_{sub} enforces each co-occurring **subsumption** pair to satisfy the dependency of the parent label on the child label.

 $Sim \in (0,1)^{|T|*|T|}$ is a pre-computed label similarity matrix based on embeddings pre-trained from the label sets.

 $Sub \in \{0,1\}^{|T|*|T|}$ can be obtained by grounding labels to knowledge bases (e.g. Microsoft Concept Graph, for the Bibsonomy dataset) or from crowdsourced relations (for the Zhihu dataset).

R() is rounding function, $R(S_{di}) = 1$ when $S_d = 0.5$, otherwise $R(S_{di}) = 0$.

Conclusions & Future Studies

- Experiments show the effectiveness of JMAN with superior performance and training speed over the state-of-the-art models, HAN and Bi-GRU.
- It is worth to explore other types of guided attention mechanisms and to adapt the regularizers to pre-trained transferable models like BERT.

JMAN $.592 \pm .009$

* Paired t-tests at 95 percent significance level against the JMAN model. Zhihu Precision Recall F_1 Score Time/Fold Bi-GRU $.238 \pm .011^{\circ}$ $.154 \pm .009^{*}$ 1455±69s $.187 \pm .010^{*}$ $.167 \pm .010^{*}$ HAN $.257 \pm .012$ $.203 \pm .011^{*}$ 1387±78s $.257 \pm .005$ JMAN-s-tg $.175 \pm .003^{*}$ $.208 \pm .006^{*}$ $1220 \pm 81s$ JMAN-s-att .254±.007** $.174 \pm .005^{*}$ $.207 \pm .005^{*}$ 1275±99s JMAN-s $.257 \pm .008$ $.177 \pm .005$ $.210 \pm .007$ $1147 \pm 44s$ **JMAN** $.260 \pm .006$.179±.003 $.212 \pm .004$ $1135\pm52s$

⁶ Paired t-tests at 95 percent significance level against the JMAN model. ** Paired t-tests at 90 percent significance level against the JMAN model.

Baselines (tested with models from 10-fold cross-validation):

Bi-GRU: Bidirectional Gated Recurrent Unit [1-2].

HAN: Hierarchical Attention Network [3-4].

JMAN-s: without semantic-based loss regularisers.

JMAN-s-tg: without semantic-based loss regularisers. and the titleguided sentence-level attention mechanism.

JMAN-s-att: without semantic-based loss regularisers and the original sentence-level attention mechanism.

[2] Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merrienboer, Caglar Gulcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Learning phrase representations using rnn encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. In Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pages 1724–1734. [3] Zichao Yang, Diyi Yang, Chris Dyer, Xiaodong He, Alex Smola, and Eduard Hovy. 2016. Hierarchical attention networks for document classification. In Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, pages 1480–1489. [4] Hebatallah A. Mohamed Hassan, Giuseppe Sansonetti, Fabio Gasparetti, and Alessandro Micarelli. 2018. Semantic-based tag recommendation in scientific bookmarking systems. In Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, RecSys '18, pages 465–469, New York, NY, USA. ACM