

# Foundations of Computer Science

## Comp109

University of Liverpool  
 Boris Konev  
 konev@liverpool.ac.uk  
<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

### Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

Comp109 Foundations of Computer Science

#### Reading

- S. Epp. *Discrete Mathematics with Applications* Chapter 4, Sections 5.2 and 5.3.
- E. Bloch. *Proofs and Fundamentals* Chapter 2, Section 6.3.
- K. Rosen. *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications* Section 5.1.

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

1 / 72

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

2 / 72

#### Contents

- The most basic datatypes
  - Natural Numbers
  - Integers
  - Rationals
  - Real Numbers
  - Prime Numbers
- Proof Techniques
  - Direct proof and disproof
    - Disproof by counterexample
    - Existence proof
    - Generalising from the generic particular
    - ...
  - Indirect Proof
    - Proof by contradiction
    - ...
  - Proof by mathematical induction

#### What is a number?

#### The natural numbers

$0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

**Key property:** Any natural number can be obtained from 0 by applying the operation  $S(n) = n + 1$  some number times.

**Examples:**  $S(0) = 1$ .

$S(S(0)) = 2$ .

$S(S(S(0))) = 3$ .

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

3 / 72

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

4 / 72

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

5 / 72

#### Prime numbers

#### Example: prime and composite numbers

#### Beyond naturals

A **prime** number is a integer greater than 1 which has exactly two divisors that are positive integers: 1 and itself.

$2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, \dots$

Every natural number greater than 1 can be written as a unique product of prime numbers.

**Examples:**  $6 = 2 \times 3$ .  $15 = 3 \times 5$ .  $1400 = 2^3 \times 5^2 \times 7$ .

1. Is 1 prime?
2. Is every integer greater than 1 either prime or composite?
3. Write the first six prime numbers.
4. Write the first six composite numbers.

**The Integers**  $\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$

**The Rational Numbers** all numbers that can be written as  $\frac{m}{n}$  where  $m$  and  $n$  are integers and  $n$  is not 0.

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

6 / 72

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

7 / 72

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109>

Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques

8 / 72

The quadratic equation  $2x^2 + 6x + 7 = 0$  has roots  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .  
Write down the value of  $\alpha + \beta$  and the value of  $\alpha\beta$ .

Work out  $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{5}$

Find the general solution, in degrees, of the equation  $2 \sin(3x + 45^\circ) = 1$

5 miles = 8 kilometres  
Which is longer, 26 miles or 45 km?

Mathematics underpins STEM subjects. In many cases, we are concerned with **solving** and **computing**

Complete the table of values for  $y = 3 - x^2$

x	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
y		-1	2		2		-6

Which of the following are true?

- "26 miles is longer than 45 km."
- An integer doubled is larger than the integer.
- The sum of any two odd numbers is even.

The moral of the story

Example: Drivers behaviour<sup>1</sup>

Historical detour: Visual proofs

- We can't believe a statement just because it appears to be true.

We need a **proof** that the statement is true or a proof that it is false.  
Do we care?

```
do {
    KeAcquireSpinLock();
    nPacketsOld = nPackets;
    if (request) {
        request = request->Next;
        KeReleaseSpinLock();
        nPackets++;
    }
} while (nPackets != nPacketsOld);
KeReleaseSpinLock();
```

Does this code obey the locking rules?

<sup>1</sup>from Microsoft presentations on Static Driver Verifier (part of Visual Studio)

Visual proof of  $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$

Visual "proof" of  $32.5 = 31.5$

Proofs

Example: Odd and even numbers

Example: Properties of odd and even numbers

- A mathematical proof is as a **carefully reasoned argument** to convince a sceptical listener (often yourself) that a given statement is true.
- Both discovery and proof are integral parts of problem solving. When you think you have discovered that a certain statement is true, try to figure out why it is true.
- If you succeed, you will know that your discovery is genuine. Even if you fail, the process of trying will give you insight into the nature of the problem and may lead to the discovery that the statement is false.

**Definition**  
An integer  $n$  is **even** if, and only if,  $n$  equals twice some integer.  
An integer  $n$  is **odd** if, and only if,  $n$  equals twice some integer plus 1.

Symbolically, if  $n$  is an integer, then  
 $n$  is even  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k$ .  
 $n$  is odd  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k + 1$ .

**Notice the use of**  $\Leftrightarrow \exists \forall$ .

Use the definitions of even and odd to justify your answers to the following questions.

**Definition**  
 $n$  is even  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k$ .  
 $n$  is odd  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k + 1$ .

1. Is 0 even?
2. Is 301 odd?

**Definition**  
 $n$  is even  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k$ .  
 $n$  is odd  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k + 1$ .

- If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, is  $6a^2b$  even?
- If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, is  $10a + 8b + 1$  odd?
- Is every integer either even or odd?

Statements of the form  $\exists x Q(x)$

Examples:

- Prove the following:  $\exists$  an even integer  $n$  that can be written in two ways as a sum of two prime numbers.
- Suppose that  $r$  and  $s$  are integers. Prove the following:  $\exists$  an integer  $k$  such that  $22r + 18s = 2k$ .

■ One way to prove  $\exists x Q(x)$  is to find an  $x$  in that makes  $Q(x)$  true.

Proving universal statements

Proving universal statements: The method of exhaustion

Motivating example: "Mathematical trick"

The vast majority of mathematical statements to be proved are **universal**. In discussing how to prove such statements, it is helpful to imagine them in a standard form:

$\forall x$  if  $P(x)$  then  $Q(x)$

For example,

- If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers then  $6a^2b$  is even.

Some theorems can be proved by examining relatively small number of examples.

- Prove that  $(n + 1)^3 \geq 3^n$  if  $n$  is a positive integer with  $n \leq 4$ .
  - $n = 1$
  - $n = 2$
  - $n = 3$
  - $n = 4$
- Prove for every natural number  $n$  with  $n < 40$  that  $n^2 + n + 41$  is prime.

Pick **any** number, add 5, multiply by 4, subtract 6, divide by 2, and subtract twice the original number. The answer is 7.

Step	Visual Result	Algebraic Result
Pick a number.	□	$x$
Add 5.	□	$x + 5$
Multiply by 4.	□         □         □         □	$(x + 5) \cdot 4 = 4x + 20$
Subtract 6.	□    □    □         □	$(4x + 20) - 6 = 4x + 14$
Divide by 2.	□    □	$\frac{4x + 14}{2} = 2x + 7$
Subtract twice the original number.	 	$(2x + 7) - 2x = 7$

Generalising from the Generic Particular

Method of direct proof

Prove that the sum of any two even integers is even

The most powerful technique for proving a universal statement is one that works regardless of the choice of values for  $x$ .

To show that every  $x$  satisfies a certain property, suppose  $x$  is a **particular** but **arbitrarily chosen** and show that  $x$  satisfies the property.

- Express the statement to be proved in the form " $\forall x$ , if  $P(x)$  then  $Q(x)$ ." (This step is often done mentally.)
- Start the proof by supposing  $x$  is a particular but arbitrarily chosen element for which the hypothesis  $P(x)$  is true. (This step is often abbreviated "Suppose  $P(x)$ .")
- Show that the conclusion  $Q(x)$  is true by using definitions, previously established results, and the rules for logical inference.

<p>Prove that every integer is rational</p>	<p>Prove that the sum of any two rational numbers is rational</p>	<p>Prove that the product of any two rational numbers is rational</p>
<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 27 / 72</p>	<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 28 / 72</p>	<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 29 / 72</p>
<p>Prove that the double of a rational number is rational</p>	<p>Prove for all integers <math>n</math>, if <math>n</math> is even then <math>n^2</math> is even</p>	<p>Prove by cases: Combine generic particulars and proof by exhaustion</p> <p>Statement: For all integers <math>n</math>, <math>n^2 + n</math> is even</p> <p>Case 1: <math>n</math> is even</p> <p>Case 2: <math>n</math> is odd</p>
<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 30 / 72</p>	<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 31 / 72</p>	<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 32 / 72</p>
<p>How about</p> <p>Prove for all integers <math>m</math> and <math>n</math>, if <math>m^2 = n^2</math> then <math>m = n</math>?</p>	<p>Disproving universal statements by counterexample</p> <p>To disprove a statement means to show that it is false. Consider the question of disproving a statement of the form</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><math>\forall x</math>, if <math>P(x)</math> then <math>Q(x)</math>.</p> <p>Showing that this statement is false is equivalent to showing that its negation is true. The negation of the statement is existential:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><math>\exists x</math> such that <math>P(x)</math> and not <math>Q(x)</math>.</p>	<p>Is it true that for every positive integer <math>n</math>, <math>n^2 \geq 2n</math>?</p>
<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 33 / 72</p>	<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 34 / 72</p>	<p><small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109</small> Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 35 / 72</p>

- In a direct proof you start with the hypothesis of a statement and make one deduction after another until you reach the conclusion.
- Indirect proofs are more roundabout. One kind of indirect proof, argument by contradiction, is based on the fact that either a statement is true or it is false but not both.
- So if you can show that the assumption that a given statement is not true leads logically to a contradiction, impossibility, or absurdity, then that assumption must be false: and, hence, the given statement must be true.

5	3			7			
6			1	9	5		
	9	8					6
8				6			3
4			8		3		1
7				2			6
	6					2	8
			4	1	9		5
				8			7
							9

Direct proof

Proof by contradiction

When to use indirect proof

- Many theorems can be proved either way. Usually, however, when both types of proof are possible, indirect proof is clumsier than direct proof.
- In the absence of obvious clues suggesting indirect argument, try first to prove a statement directly. Then, if that does not succeed, look for a counterexample.
- If the search for a counterexample is unsuccessful, look for a proof by contradiction

The real numbers

All (decimal) numbers – distances to points on a number line.

Examples.

- $-3.0$
- $0$
- $1.6$
- $\pi = 3.14159\dots$

A real number that is not rational is called **irrational**.

But are there any irrational numbers?

Direct proof

Proof by contradiction

When to use indirect proof

- Many theorems can be proved either way. Usually, however, when both types of proof are possible, indirect proof is clumsier than direct proof.
- In the absence of obvious clues suggesting indirect argument, try first to prove a statement directly. Then, if that does not succeed, look for a counterexample.
- If the search for a counterexample is unsuccessful, look for a proof by contradiction

The real numbers

All (decimal) numbers – distances to points on a number line.

Examples.

- $-3.0$
- $0$
- $1.6$
- $\pi = 3.14159\dots$

A real number that is not rational is called **irrational**.

But are there any irrational numbers?

### Proving that $\sqrt{2}$ is not a rational number

Proof by contradiction.

- If  $\sqrt{2}$  were rational then we could write it as  $\sqrt{2} = x/y$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are integers and  $y$  is not 0.
- By repeatedly cancelling **common factors**, we can make sure that  $x$  and  $y$  have no common factors so they are not both even.
- Then  $2 = x^2/y^2$  so  $x^2 = 2y^2$  so  $x^2$  is even. This means  $x$  is even, because the square of any odd number is odd.

### the proof continued

- Let  $x = 2w$  for some integer  $w$ .
- Then  $x^2 = 4w^2$  so  $4w^2 = 2y^2$  so  $y^2 = 2w^2$  so  $y^2$  is even so  $y$  is even.
- This **contradicts** the fact that  $x$  and  $y$  are not both even, so our original assumption, that  $\sqrt{2}$  is rational, must have been wrong.

### Prove that $1 + 3\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 45 / 72

### Mathematical induction


http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 46 / 72

### Example: Domino effect

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 47 / 72

### Proving by induction that a property holds for every natural number $n$

- Mathematical induction is one of the more *recently* developed techniques of proof in the history of mathematics.
- It is used to check conjectures about the outcomes of processes that occur repeatedly and according to definite patterns.
- In general, mathematical induction is a method for proving that a property defined for integers  $n$  is true for all values of  $n$  that are greater than or equal to some initial integer



One domino for each natural number, arranged in order.

- I will push domino 0 (the one at the front of the picture) towards the others.
- For every natural number  $m$ , if the  $m$ 'th domino falls, then the  $(m + 1)$ st domino will fall.

Conclude: All of the Dominoes will fall.

- Prove that the property holds for the natural number  $n = 0$ .
- Prove that **if** the property holds for  $n = m$  (for any natural number  $m$ ) **then** it holds for  $n = m + 1$ .

The validity of proof by mathematical induction is generally taken as an axiom. That is why it is referred to as the **principle** of mathematical induction rather than as a theorem.

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 48 / 72

### A proof of a property by induction looks like this

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 49 / 72

### Example: Proof by induction

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 50 / 72

### Proof continued

**Base Case:** Show that the property holds for  $n = 0$ .

**Inductive Step:** Assume that the property holds for  $n = m$ . Show that it holds for  $n = m + 1$ .

**Conclusion:** You can now conclude that the property holds for every natural number  $n$ .

For every natural number  $n$ ,

$$0 + 1 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

**Base Case:** Take  $n = 0$ . The left-hand-side and the right-hand-side are both 0 so they are equal.

**Inductive Step:** Assume that the property holds for  $n = m$ , so

$$0 + 1 + \dots + m = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}.$$

Now consider  $n = m + 1$ . We must show that

$$0 + 1 + \dots + m + (m + 1) = \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}.$$

Since

$$0 + 1 + \dots + m = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}.$$

$$0 + 1 + \dots + m + (m + 1) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} + m + 1$$

$$= \frac{m(m+1) + 2(m+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$$

Suppose you want to prove a statement not for all natural numbers, but for all integers greater than or equal to some particular natural number  $b$

**Base Case:** Show that the property holds for  $n = b$ .

**Inductive Step:** Assume that the property holds for  $n = m$  for any  $m \geq b$ . Show that it holds for  $n = m + 1$ .

**Conclusion:** You can now conclude that the property holds for every integer  $n \geq b$ .

For all integers  $n \geq 8$ ,  $n\pounds$  can be obtained using  $3\pounds$  and  $5\pounds$  coins.

**Base Case:** For  $n = 8$ ,  $8\pounds = 3\pounds + 5\pounds$ .

**Inductive Step:** Suppose that  $m\pounds$  can be obtained using  $3\pounds$  and  $5\pounds$  coins for any  $m \geq 8$ . We must show that  $(m + 1)\pounds$  can be obtained using  $3\pounds$  and  $5\pounds$  coins.

Consider cases

- There is a  $5\pounds$  coin among those used to make up the  $m\pounds$ .
  - Replace the  $5\pounds$  coin with two  $3\pounds$  coins. We obtain  $(m + 1)\pounds$ .
- There is no  $5\pounds$  coin among those used to make up the  $m\pounds$ .
  - There are three  $3\pounds$  coins ( $m \geq 8$ ).
    - Replace the three  $3\pounds$  coins with two  $5\pounds$  coins

For every integer  $n \geq 3$ ,  $4^n > 2^{n+2}$ .

**Base Case:** Take  $n = 3$ . Then  $4^n = 4^3 = 64$ . Also,  $2^{n+2} = 2^5 = 32$ . So  $4^n > 2^{n+2}$ .

**Inductive Step:** For any  $m \geq 3$ , assume that the statement  $4^m > 2^{m+2}$  is true. (This is called the "inductive hypothesis".) Now consider  $n = m + 1$ . We must show that  $4^{m+1} > 2^{(m+1)+2} = 2^{m+3}$ .

Here is the calculation.  $4^{m+1} = 4 \times 4^m$ . But by the inductive hypothesis,  $4 \times 4^m > 4 \times 2^{m+2}$ . Finally,

$$4 \times 2^{m+2} > 2 \times 2^{m+2} = 2^{m+3}.$$

Using induction to show that a program is correct

What does the following program do?

```

i = 0
M = 0
mylist = [1, 2, 6, 3, 4, 5]
while i < len(mylist):
    M = max(M, mylist[i])
    i = i + 1
print M
    
```

Using induction to show that a program is correct

```

i = 0
M = 0
mylist = [1, 2, 6, 3, 4, 5]
while i < len(mylist):
    M = max(M, mylist[i])
    i = i + 1
print M
    
```

Property: After the statement  $M = \max(M, \text{mylist}[i])$  gets executed, the value of  $M$  is  $\max(\text{mylist}[0], \dots, \text{mylist}[i])$ .

Proof by induction

Property: After the statement  $M = \max(M, \text{mylist}[i])$  gets executed, the value of  $M$  is  $\max(\text{mylist}[0], \dots, \text{mylist}[i])$ .

**Base Case:** Take  $i=0$ . Before the statement,  $M=0$ , so the statement assigns  $M$  to be the maximum of  $0$  and  $\text{mylist}[0]$ , which is  $\text{mylist}[0]$ .

**Inductive Step:** Assume that the statement is true for  $i=m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . Now consider  $i=m+1$ . The statement assigns  $M$  to be the maximum of  $\text{mylist}[m+1]$  and  $\max(\text{mylist}[0], \dots, \text{mylist}[m])$ , so after the statement,  $M$  is  $\max(\text{mylist}[0], \dots, \text{mylist}[m+1])$ .

Strong induction

- Prove that the property holds for the natural number  $n = 0$ .
- Prove that if the property holds for  $n = 0, 2, \dots, m$  (and not just for  $m$ !) then it holds for  $n = m + 1$ .

Can also be used to prove a property for all integers greater than or equal to some particular natural number  $b$

Example: Proof by strong induction

Every natural number  $n \geq 2$ , is a prime or a product of primes.

**Base Case:** Take  $n = 2$ . Then  $n$  is a prime number.

**Inductive Step:** Assume that the property holds for  $n = m$  so every number  $i$  s.t.  $2 \leq i \leq m$  is a prime or a produce of primes. Now consider  $n = m + 1$ .

Example: Number of multiplications

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are  $n$  numbers, then no matter how the parentheses are inserted into their product, the number of multiplications used to compute the product is  $n - 1$ .

Bad proofs: Arguing from example	Bad proofs: Using the same letter to mean two different things	Bad proofs: Jumping to a conclusion
<p>An incorrect “proof” of the fact that the sum of any two even integers is even.</p> <p><i>This is true because if <math>m = 14</math> and <math>n = 6</math>, which are both even, then <math>m + n = 20</math>, which is also even.</i></p>	<p>Consider the following “proof” fragment:</p> <p><i>Suppose <math>m</math> and <math>n</math> are any odd integers. Then by definition of odd, <math>m = 2k + 1</math> and <math>n = 2k + 1</math> for some integer <math>k</math>.</i></p>	<p>To jump to a conclusion means to allege the truth of something without giving an adequate reason.</p> <p><i>Suppose <math>m</math> and <math>n</math> are any even integers. By definition of even, <math>m = 2r</math> and <math>n = 2s</math> for some integers <math>r</math> and <math>s</math>. Then <math>m + n = 2r + 2s</math>. So <math>m + n</math> is even.</i></p>
<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 63 / 72</small>	<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 64 / 72</small>	<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 65 / 72</small>
Bad proofs: Circular reasoning	Bad proofs: Confusion between what is known and what is still to be shown	Good proofs in practice <sup>2</sup>
<p>To engage in circular reasoning means to assume what is to be proved.</p> <p><i>Suppose <math>m</math> and <math>n</math> are any odd integers. When any odd integers are multiplied, their product is odd. Hence <math>mn</math> is odd.</i></p>	<p><i>Suppose <math>m</math> and <math>n</math> are any odd integers. We must show that <math>mn</math> is odd. This means that there exists an integer <math>s</math> such that</i></p> $mn = 2s + 1.$ <p><i>Also by definition of odd, there exist integers <math>a</math> and <math>b</math> such that</i></p> $m = 2a + 1 \text{ and } n = 2b + 1.$ <p><i>Then</i></p> $mn = (2a + 1)(2b + 1) = 2s + 1.$ <p><i>So, since <math>s</math> is an integer, <math>mn</math> is odd by definition of odd.</i></p>	<p><b>State your game plan.</b></p> <p><i>A good proof begins by explaining the general line of reasoning, for example, “We use case analysis” or “We argue by contradiction.”</i></p> <hr/> <p><sup>2</sup>Mathematics for Computer Science by E. Lehman, F. T. Leighton, and A. R. Meyer.</p>
<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 66 / 72</small>	<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 67 / 72</small>	<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 68 / 72</small>
Good proofs in Practice	Good proofs in practice	Good proofs in practice
<p><b>Keep a linear flow.</b></p> <p><i>Sometimes proofs are written like mathematical mosaics, with juicy titbits of independent reasoning sprinkled throughout. This is not good. The steps of an argument should follow one another in an intelligible order.</i></p>	<p><b>A proof is an essay, not a calculation.</b></p> <p><i>Many students initially write proofs the way they compute integrals. The result is a long sequence of expressions without explanation, making it very hard to follow. This is bad. A good proof usually looks like an essay with some equations thrown in. Use complete sentences.</i></p>	<p><b>Structure your proof</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ <b>Theorem</b>—A very important true statement.</li> <li>■ <b>Proposition</b>—A less important but still interesting statement.</li> <li>■ <b>Lemma</b>—A true statement used to prove other statements.</li> <li>■ <b>Corollary</b>—A simple consequence of a theorem or a proposition.</li> </ul>
<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 69 / 72</small>	<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 70 / 72</small>	<small>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~konev/COMP109 Part 1. Number Systems and Proof Techniques 71 / 72</small>



## Finish

*At some point in a proof, you'll have established all the essential facts you need. Resist the temptation to quit and leave the reader to draw the "obvious" conclusion. Instead, tie everything together yourself and explain why the original claim follows.*