

## Foundations of Computer Science (COMP109)

### Tutorial VIII (bring solutions between 20.11.2017 – 24.11.2017)

VIII.1. For each of the following relations on the set  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  determine whether they are functional, reflexive, symmetric, anti-symmetric or transitive.

Explain your answer in each case, showing why your answer is correct.

- (a)  $\{(4, 2), (2, 1), (1, 2), (3, 3)\}$ ,
- (b)  $\{(2, 1), (3, 3), (4, 2)\}$ ,
- (c)  $\{(4, 1), (4, 2), (3, 1), (3, 2), (1, 2)\}$ .
- (d)  $\{(x, y) \mid x > y\}$ .

VIII.2. Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and the relation  $R$  on  $A$  be given by

$$R = \{(1, 3), (3, 2), (2, 1), (4, 4)\}.$$

What is the transitive closure of  $R$ ?

VIII.3. For each of the following equivalence relations  $R$  on a given set  $A$ , describe the equivalence classes  $E_x$  into which the relation partitions the set  $A$ :

- (a)  $A$  is the set of books in a library;  $R$  is given by  $xRy$  if, and only if, the colour of  $x$ 's cover is the same as the colour of  $y$ 's cover.
- (b)  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ;  $R$  is given by  $xRy$  if, and only if,  $x - y$  is even.
- (c)  $A$  is the set of people;  $R$  is given by  $xRy$  if, and only if,  $x$  has the same sex as  $y$ .
- (d)  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $R = \{(0, 0), (0, 4), (1, 1), (1, 3), (2, 2), (3, 1), (3, 3), (4, 0), (4, 4)\}$ .

VIII.4. Is there a mistake in the following proof that any transitive and symmetric relation  $R$  is reflexive? If so, what is it?

*Let  $aRb$ . By symmetry,  $bRa$ . By transitivity, if  $aRb$  and  $bRa$ , then  $aRa$ .  
This proves reflexivity.*

VIII.5. Determine for the following relations on the set of people if the relation is an equivalence relation, a partial order, both an equivalence relation and a partial order, or neither an equivalence relation nor a partial order.

- (a) 'has the same parents (both) as'
- (b) 'has at least one parent same as'
- (c) 'is a brother of'
- (d) 'is at least as clever as'.

VIII.6. Let  $R$  and  $S$  be relations on a set  $A$ . Use proof by contradiction to show that if  $R$  and  $S$  are partial orders then  $R \cap S$  is also a partial order.