#### <u>Comp 204: Computer Systems</u> and Their Implementation

# Lecture 12: Scheduling Algorithms cont'd

#### Today

- Scheduling continued
  - Multilevel queues
  - Examples
  - Thread scheduling

# Question

- A starvation-free job-scheduling policy guarantees that no job waits indefinitely for service. Which of the following job-scheduling policies is starvation-free?
  - a) Round-robin
  - b) Priority queuing
  - c) Shortest job first
  - d) Youngest job first
  - e) None of the above

#### Answer: a

Round Robin – this gives all processes equal access to the processor. The other techniques each select some "types" of processes to others (e.g. short processes, high priority processes etc).

# **Question?**

 Suppose that a scheduling algorithm favours processes that have used the least CPU time in the recent past. Why will this algorithm favour I/O-bound programs and yet not permanently starve CPUbound programs?

# <u>Answer</u>

 It will favour the I/O-bound programs because of their relatively short CPU burst times but, the CPU-bound programs will not starve because the I/O-bound programs will relinquish the CPU relatively often to do their I/O.

# **Multilevel Queue**



# **Multilevel Queue**

- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm
  - e.g. queue of foreground processes using RR and queue of batch processes using FCFS
- Scheduling must be done between the queues
  - Fixed priority scheduling: serve all from one queue then another
    - Possibility of starvation
  - Time slice: each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes
    - e.g. 80% to foreground queue, 20% to background queue

# **Multilevel Feedback Queue**

- A process can move between the various queues
  - Separates processes according to characteristics of their CPU bursts
  - I/O-bound processes stay in high-priority queues
  - **Compute-bound** processes relegated to lower priority queues
    - Aging can be implemented to promote very long processes and hence prevent starvation
- Parameters to be considered for a multilevel-feedbackqueue scheduler:
  - How many queues?
  - Which algorithm is used for each queue?
  - How to determine when to upgrade/demote a process to a higher/lower priority?
  - How to determine which queue a process will enter?



- Three queues:
  - 1) RR with time quantum of 4 milliseconds
  - 2) RR time quantum of 8 milliseconds
  - 3) FCFS
- Scheduling
  - A process at head of queue 1 gains the CPU for 4 milliseconds. If it does not finish in 4 milliseconds, it is preempted and moved to tail of queue 2
  - When queue 1 is empty, the process at the head of queue 2 gets the CPU for 8 milliseconds. If it does not finish, it is preempted and moved to queue 3
  - When queues in 1 and 2 are empty processes in queue 3 are run FCFS

# **Multilevel Queues**

- Advantages:
  - Flexible implementation w.r.t. movement between queues
  - Enables short CPU-bound jobs to be prioritised and therefore processed quickly
  - Can be preemptive or non-preemptive
- Disadvantages:
  - Queues require monitoring, which is a costly activity



- Suppose we have the following four processes all arriving at time 0 in the following order: P<sub>1</sub> with CPU burst of 8 milliseconds, priority 2 P<sub>2</sub> with CPU burst of 2 milliseconds, priority 1 P<sub>3</sub> with CPU burst of 5 millisecond, priority 3 P<sub>4</sub> with CPU burst of 4 milliseconds, priority 2
- Which of the following algorithms gives the minimum average waiting time: SJF, Priority, RR (using a time quantum of 2 milliseconds)?

#### **Answer - SJF**

- $P_1$  CPU: 8 ms, priority 2
- $P_2$  CPU: 2 ms, priority 1
- $P_3$  CPU: 5 ms, priority 3
- $P_4$  CPU: 4 ms, priority 2

• SJF:



Average waiting time is (11 + 0 + 6 + 2)/4
 = 4.75 milliseconds

### **Answer - Priority**

- $P_1$  CPU: 8 ms, priority 2
- $P_2$  CPU: 2 ms, priority 1
- $P_3$  CPU: 5 ms, priority 3
- $P_4$  CPU: 4 ms, priority 2

• Priority:

	$P_2$		<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>		$P_4$	P <sub>3</sub>	
0		2		10	1	4	19

Average waiting time is (2 + 0 + 14 + 10)/4
 = 6.5 milliseconds

#### Answer - RR

- $P_1$  CPU: 8 ms, priority 2
- $P_2$  CPU: 2 ms, priority 1
- $P_3$  CPU: 5 ms, priority 3
- $P_4$  CPU: 4 ms, priority 2

• RR:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline P_1 & P_2 & P_3 & P_4 & P_1 & P_3 & P_4 & P_1 & P_3 & P_4 \\ \hline 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 17 & 19 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- Average waiting time is ((17-6) + 2 + (16-4) + (12-2))/4 = 8.75 milliseconds
- Thus, SJF gives the shortest average waiting time here

#### **Scheduling Example – Windows XP**

- Priorities are in range 0-31
  - Where 31 is highest priority!
- A new process is given one of the following base priorities
  - IDLE (4)
  - BELOW\_NORMAL (6)
  - NORMAL (8)
  - ABOVE\_NORMAL (10)
  - HIGH (13)
  - REALTIME (24)
- For NORMAL processes
  - the foreground process (currently active window) has its time quantum lengthened
- Each process starts with a single thread, although more may be created
- Thread scheduling is handled by kernel

# **Windows XP Threads**

- Thread priorities divided into
  - Variable class (0-15)
  - Real-time class (16-31)
- Threads also have processor affinity
  - CPUs may be real or virtual (hyper-threading)
- Thread queue for each priority
- Dispatcher scans queues from highest to lowest to find thread which is
  - Ready to run
  - Has affinity for CPU which is available
- If no thread found, idle thread is executed

# **Windows XP Scheduling**

- A thread can be pre-empted if a higher-priority real-time thread becomes ready
- If time-slice of normal class thread expires, its priority is lowered
- When I/O or event wait completes for a normal class thread, priority is increased
  - Increase is greater for slow I/O (e.g. keybd)
- Thread associated with active window also gets priority increased

# **Linux Scheduling**

- The Linux scheduler is a pre-emptive priority-based algorithm
  - Real-time tasks are distinguished from other tasks through the use of priorities
- The scheduler assigns longer time quanta to higherpriority tasks and shorter time quanta to lower-priority tasks
- When the time-slice for a task expires, it is not eligible to be run again until all other tasks have used up their time quanta
  - Priorities are dynamically recalculated when time-slice expires

# **Java Scheduling**

- The JVM has a loosely-defined scheduling policy based on priorities
- It is possible for a lower-priority thread to continue to run even as a higher-priority thread becomes runnable, though some systems *may* support preemption
- Using time-slicing, a thread runs until either:
  - Its time quantum expires
  - It blocks for I/O
  - It exits its run() method

# **Java Thread Priorities**

- A thread is given a default priority, between 1 and 10, when created
  - The priority will be the same as the thread that created it
- This priority remains constant unless explicitly changed by the program

   setPriority() method

# End of Section

- Operating systems concepts:
  - communicating sequential processes;
  - mutual exclusion, resource allocation, deadlock;
  - process management and scheduling.
- Concurrent programming in Java:
  - Java threads;
  - The Producer-Consumer problem.
- Next section: Memory Management